AN EXAMINATION OF FINANCING STRATEGIES FOR THE DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF UNIVERSITIES IN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES

ANDRONIC Adrian
ORCID: 0000-0001-6645-146X
Ph.D. Student, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, www.ase.md, Republic of Moldova
andronic.adriano@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. *The purpose of this paper is to examine the efficient financing strategies for digital transformation in universities in developed countries based on existing research. In recent years, digital transformation has been a significant trend in universities worldwide with the adoption of digital tools and resources to improve teaching, learning, research, and administrative processes. There are several strategies for financing digital transformation, including government funding, public-private partnerships (PPPs), philanthropic donations, user fees, crowdfunding, bond financing, and leveraging existing assets. Government funding is a common strategy in developed countries, providing direct funding for digital infrastructure and university resources. PPPs can effectively finance digital transformation by leveraging the resources and expertise of both the public and private sectors. Philanthropic donations play an important role, with individuals, foundations, and corporations interested in supporting education and the development of digital infrastructure and resources. The author explores these strategies, analyzing the advantages and limitations of each approach, and offers recommendations for universities to effectively finance their digital transformation efforts.*
KEYWORDS: *digital transformation, universities, financing strategies*
JEL CLASSIFICATION: *O33, I22*

INTRODUCTION

In the past two decades, digital transformation has been a significant trend in universities worldwide [1]. With the advancement of technology, universities have increasingly adopted digital tools and resources to improve teaching, learning, research, and administrative processes [2].

Some key elements of universities' digital transformation include online learning, e-learning platforms, digital assessment tools, digital libraries, big data, and artificial intelligence [3]. The widespread availability of online courses and programs has allowed students to learn from anywhere, at any time, and their own pace [4]. Universities have adopted e-Learning platforms like Blackboard, Canvas, and Moodle to deliver online courses and support student learning [5]. In addition, universities have developed digital assessment tools, such as online exams and quizzes, to improve the accuracy and efficiency of student assessments [6]. Finally, universities have transformed their libraries into digital spaces, with online access to a wealth of information resources and digital collections [7]. Universities have embraced big data and artificial intelligence technologies to analyze large amounts of data and improve decision-making in research, administration, and student outcomes [8].

Overall, the digital transformation of universities has been a global phenomenon and has led to significant improvements in the quality and accessibility of education [9]. However, there are still challenges to overcome, including unequal access to technology and digital skills and the need for continued investment in digital infrastructure and resources [10].

In this paper, the author aims to examine the efficient financing strategies for the digital transformation of universities in developed counties based on existing research.

STRATEGIES FOR FINANCING THE DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF UNIVERSITIES

There are several strategies for financing the digital transformation of universities, including government funding, public-private partnerships, philanthropic donations, user fees, crowdfunding, bond financing, and leveraging existing assets [11].

Government funding for the digital transformation of universities is a common strategy in developed countries [12]. The government provides direct funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources, such as computers, software, and internet access [13]. This helps to ensure that universities have access to the resources they need to implement digital technology and support the development of digital skills among students and staff.

For example, in the United States, the National Science Foundation (NSF) provides funding for research and development in information technology [14]. The NSF's mission is to advance the frontiers of knowledge and enhance the nation's economic competitiveness. To achieve this, the NSF provides funding for research and development in information technology, cybersecurity, and digital infrastructure. This funding is used to support the development of new technologies and to implement digital infrastructure and resources in universities.

Similarly, governments in other developed countries also provide direct funding for implementing digital infrastructure and resources in universities [15]. This helps to ensure that universities have the resources they need to support digital transformation and to provide students and staff with the skills they need to thrive in the digital age. However, the extent of government funding for digital transformation in universities will depend on the specific circumstances and resources available in each country.

Here are several examples of government funding strategies in the digital transformation of education in universities in Europe:

- France: The French government has established a digital plan for higher education, including funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources [16, 17]. The government provides funding for the purchase of computers and software and for the development of online learning platforms and resources.
- Germany: The German government provides funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources through the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research [18, 19]. This funding is used to support the development of digital skills among students and staff and to implement digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access.
- United Kingdom: The UK government provides funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources through the Office for Students [20-22]. This funding is used to support the development of digital skills among students and staff and to implement digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access.
- Spain: The Spanish government provides funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources through the Ministry of Education and Vocational Training [23-25]. This funding is used to support the development of digital skills among students and staff and to implement digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access.
- Sweden: The Swedish government provides funding for implementing digital infrastructure and university resources through the Swedish Research Council [26, 27]. This funding is used to support the development of digital skills among students and staff and to implement digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access. In addition, the government also funds research and innovation in digital technology and education to support the development of new and innovative approaches to digital transformation in universities.

These are just a few examples of government funding strategies in the digital transformation of education in European universities. The strategies and funding mechanisms may vary from country to country, depending on the specific needs and available resources. However, in general, governments in Europe play a crucial role in financing the digital transformation of universities by providing direct funding for the implementation of digital infrastructure and resources.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are partnerships between the public sector (e.g., government agencies) and the private sector (e.g., companies and organizations) to achieve shared goals and objectives [28]. In the context of the digital transformation of education in universities,

PPPs can effectively finance the implementation of digital infrastructure and resources by leveraging the resources and expertise of both the public and private sectors [29].

For example, in the UK, the government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for developing digital infrastructure and resources in universities [30]. Private companies may provide funding or in-kind contributions, such as hardware, software, or technical expertise. In contrast, the government provides funding and regulatory support to ensure the successful implementation of the partnership.

PPPs can be particularly effective in developing countries with limited government funding for digital transformation in education [31, 32]. By partnering with private companies, universities can leverage the resources and expertise of the private sector to implement digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access.

In addition, PPPs can also facilitate the transfer of knowledge and expertise from the private sector to the public sector, helping to build capacity and ensure the long-term sustainability of university digital transformation initiatives [33].

However, it is essential to carefully consider the terms and conditions of PPPs to ensure that they align with the university's and broader education sector's goals and objectives and to ensure that they do not negatively impact the public interest or compromise the independence and autonomy of universities [34].

There are several examples of public-private partnership (PPP) funding strategies in the digital transformation of education in universities in Europe:

- The Netherlands: The Dutch government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for implementing digital infrastructure and resources in universities [35, 36]. For example, in 2013, the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science launched the "Digital School" program, which aims to support the development of digital competencies in primary and secondary schools [37]. The program is funded by the government and private companies and provides funding for implementing digital infrastructure and resources, such as computers, software, and internet access.
- France: In France, the government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for developing digital infrastructure and resources in universities [38]. For example, the French government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for developing high-speed internet access in universities to support the implementation of online learning initiatives.
- Germany: In Germany, the government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for developing digital infrastructure and resources in universities [39]. For example, the German government has partnered with private companies to provide funding for developing high-speed internet access in universities to support the implementation of online learning initiatives [40].

These are just a few examples of PPP funding strategies in the digital transformation of education in European universities [41]. Naturally, the strategies and funding mechanisms may vary from country to country, depending on the specific needs and available resources. However, PPPs can be an effective way to finance the digital transformation of universities by leveraging the resources and expertise of both the public and private sectors [42].

Philanthropic donations can play an essential role in financing the digital transformation of universities [43]. These donations typically come from individuals, foundations, and corporations interested in supporting education and the development of digital infrastructure and resources [44].

For example, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has provided funding for developing university digital infrastructure and resources in the United States [45, 46]. In addition, the foundation has supported initiatives such as creating online learning platforms and developing digital resources to support teaching and learning.

Similarly, in Europe, several foundations and corporations provide funding for the digital transformation of universities [47]. For example, the European Commission's Horizon 2020

program provides funding for research and innovation in the field of education, including the development of digital infrastructure and resources [48].

In addition to funding from foundations and corporations, individual philanthropic donations can also play a significant role in financing the digital transformation of universities. For example, alums and other university supporters can contribute to developing digital infrastructure and resources [49].

Overall, philanthropic donations provide a significant source of funding for the digital transformation of universities and can help support initiatives that might not otherwise receive funding from government or private sector sources.

There are several cases of philanthropic donations and funding strategies in the digital transformation of education in European universities. Here are a few examples:

- The Vodafone Foundation in the Netherlands funds educational technology projects in European universities [50]. The foundation has supported initiatives such as developing digital resources for language learning, creating online learning platforms, and implementing technology-enhanced classrooms.

- The King Baudouin Foundation in Belgium provides funding for education projects in European universities [51]. The foundation has supported initiatives such as developing digital resources for teaching and learning, implementing technology-enhanced classrooms, and creating online learning platforms.

- The Mercator Foundation in Germany: This foundation provides funding for education projects in European universities [52]. The foundation has supported initiatives such as developing digital resources for teaching and learning, implementing technology-enhanced classrooms, and creating online learning platforms.

- The Santander Universities in Spain: This program provides funding for education projects in universities across Europe [53]. The program has supported initiatives such as developing digital resources for teaching and learning, implementing technology-enhanced classrooms, and creating online learning platforms.

These are just a few examples of philanthropic donations and funding strategies for the digital transformation of education in European universities. Philanthropic donations provide a significant source of funding for these initiatives and help support initiatives that might not otherwise receive funding from government or private sector sources.

User fees are another strategy that universities can use to finance their digital transformation [11, 54]. This strategy involves charging students and other users for access to digital resources and services, such as online courses, e-books, and other digital learning materials. The revenue generated from these user fees can then be used to support the developing and implementation of digital infrastructure and resources.

For example, many universities in the United States offer online courses and programs that generate revenue to support their digital transformation efforts [55, 56]. These courses and programs are typically offered through the university's continuing education or distance learning department and are designed to be flexible and accessible to a wide range of students, including those who may not be able to attend traditional on-campus classes.

In addition to online courses, universities may charge fees for access to digital resources such as e-books, learning management systems, and other educational technology tools. These fees can offset the costs of acquiring, implementing, and maintaining these resources and provide a reliable source of funding for the digital transformation of the university.

The use of user fees should be considered carefully, as they may not be feasible for all universities and could limit access to digital resources for some students. Therefore, universities must strike a balance between generating revenue through user fees and ensuring that their digital resources are accessible to all students, regardless of their financial situation [13].

There are several examples of universities in Europe that have used user fees as a strategy for financing their digital transformation. Here are a few:

1. The Open University in the UK - The Open University is a distance learning institution offering various online courses and programs [57]. It generates funding for its digital transformation through student fees for its online courses. The university has been a leader in using technology to deliver education and has invested heavily in digital resources and infrastructure to support its online learning programs.

2. The European School of Economics (ESE) - ESE is a private business school in Italy that offers both on-campus and online courses [58]. The school charges fees for its online courses and uses the revenue generated to support its digital transformation efforts, including developing and implementing digital infrastructure and resources.

3. University College Dublin (UCD) - UCD is a public university in Ireland that has embraced technology in its teaching and learning activities [59]. The university charges fees for its online courses and uses the revenue generated to support its digital transformation efforts, including developing and implementing digital infrastructure and resources.

These are just a few examples of European universities that have used user fees to finance their digital transformation. The success of this strategy will depend on several factors, including the type of courses and programs offered, the target audience, and the availability of alternative funding sources [60].

Crowdfunding is a relatively new financing strategy for digital transformation in universities [55, 61]. It involves leveraging the support of many individuals and organizations to raise funds for a specific project or goal. Here are a few examples of how universities in Europe have used crowdfunding to finance their digital transformation:

- Aalto University in Finland - Aalto University used a crowdfunding platform to raise funds for developing new digital resources and infrastructure [62]. The university's campaign successfully attracted many supporters, who donated money to support the university's digital transformation efforts.

- University of Copenhagen in Denmark - The University of Copenhagen used a crowdfunding platform to raise funds for a specific project to improve its digital infrastructure [63]. The university's campaign was successful in attracting a large number of supporters, who donated money to support the project.

- University of Salford in the UK - The University of Salford used a crowdfunding platform to raise funds to develop a new digital learning environment for its students [64]. The university's campaign successfully attracted many supporters, who donated money to support the university's digital transformation efforts.

These are just a few examples of how European universities have used crowdfunding as a financing strategy for their digital transformation. Crowdfunding can be an effective way to raise funds for digital transformation, particularly if the university has a large and engaged community of supporters [65].

Bond financing is a way the universities raise capital for their digital transformation efforts by issuing bonds to investors [66]. The bonds are essentially loans, with the university using the capital raised to finance the implementation of its digital infrastructure and resources. In return, investors receive periodic interest payments and the return of their principal when the bond matures. This method of financing can be attractive for universities because it allows them to secure long-term funding for their digital transformation efforts without diverting resources from their core educational mission [67]. It can also be attractive for investors, who can earn a steady return on their investment while supporting the advancement of education. However, bond financing may also involve a higher risk than other financing options [68]. It also requires the university to have a strong credit rating and a track record of financial stability to attract investors [69].

Leveraging existing assets can be a way for universities to generate funding for digital transformation by securing loans or other forms of financing against their existing assets, such as land, buildings, or equipment [55, 70]. For example, a university can take a loan against the value of its real estate assets to finance the purchase of new technology or digital infrastructure. This

approach can give the university access to capital without issuing new bonds or increasing tuition fees. However, universities need to consider the potential long-term consequences of leveraging assets, such as increased debt or reduced assets, before pursuing this strategy [71].

CONCLUSION

The digital transformation of universities worldwide has been a growing trend over the past two decades. Advancements in technology have resulted in universities adopting digital tools and resources to improve teaching, learning, research, and administration. Different financing options are available to universities, such as government funding, public-private partnerships, philanthropic donations, and others. Developed countries can benefit from government funding, while public-private partnerships can effectively finance digital infrastructure and resources. Philanthropic donations can also support the development of digital infrastructure in universities. However, the financing strategy should align with the university's goals and not negatively impact the public interest.

For developing countries, there are several recommendations to finance their digital transformation initiatives: government funding, public-private partnerships, philanthropic donations, crowdfunding, capacity building, digital skills development, and leveraging existing resources. Developing countries should assess their specific needs and resources and tailor their financing strategies accordingly. Additionally, investing in capacity building and digital skills development among educators and students is essential to ensure the effective use of digital tools and resources.

REFERENCES

1. Rodríguez-Abitia, G. and G. Bribiesca-Correa, *Assessing digital transformation in universities.* Future Internet, 2021. **13**(2): p. 52.

2. Larionova, V. and A. Karasik, *Digital transformation of universities: Notes on the global conference EDCrunch URAL on technologies in education.* University management: practice and analysis, 2019.

3. García-Peñalvo, F.J., *Digital transformation in the universities: implications of the COVID-19 pandemic.* 2021.

4. Erdmann, A., A. Estrada Presedo, and M. de Miguel Valdés, *Digital Transformation of Universities: The Influence of COVID-19 and Students' Perception.* Multidisciplinary Journal for Education, Social and Technological Sciences, 2021. **8**(2): p. 19-41.

5. Swerzenski, J., *Critically analyzing the online classroom: Blackboard, moodle, canvas, and the pedagogy they produce.* Journal of Communication Pedagogy, 2021. **4**: p. 51-69.

6. Maltese, V., *Digital transformation challenges for universities: Ensuring information consistency across digital services.* Cataloging & classification quarterly, 2018. **56**(7): p. 592-606.

7. Sandhu, G. *The role of academic libraries in the digital transformation of the universities.* in *2018 5th International Symposium on Emerging Trends and Technologies in Libraries and Information Services (ETTLIS).* 2018. IEEE.

8. Okunlaya, R.O., N. Syed Abdullah, and R.A. Alias, *Artificial intelligence (AI) library services innovative conceptual framework for the digital transformation of university education.* Library Hi Tech, 2022. **40**(6): p. 1869-1892.

9. Gafurov, I.R., et al., *Change of the Higher Education Paradigm in the Context of Digital Transformation: From Resource Management to Access Control.* International Journal of Higher Education, 2020. **9**(3): p. 71-85.

10. Coral, M.A. and A.E. Bernuy, *Challenges in the digital transformation processes in higher education institutions and universities.* International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (IJITSA), 2022. **15**(1): p. 1-14.

11. Hacioglu, U. and T. Aksoy, *Financial Ecosystem and Strategy in the Digital Era: Global Approaches and New Opportunities.* 2021: Springer.

12. Brdesee, H., *A divergent view of the impact of digital transformation on academic organizational and spending efficiency: A review and analytical study on a university E-service.* Sustainability, 2021. **13**(13): p. 7048.

13. Mohamed Hashim, M.A., I. Tlemsani, and R. Duncan Matthews, *A sustainable University: Digital Transformation and Beyond.* Education and Information Technologies, 2022. **27**(7): p. 8961-8996.

14. National Science Foundation. *Meet TIP – Technology, Innovation and Partnerships.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://beta.nsf.gov/tip/latest.

15. von der Heyde, M., et al. *DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF HIGHER EDUCATION-ENABLING SCALING PLATFORMS.* in *ICERI2019 Proceedings.* 2019. IATED.

16. CEDEFOP. *France: digital plan for education – 500 schools and collèges to be connected to the Internet.* 2015 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.cedefop.europa.eu/en/news/france-digital-plan-education-500-schools-and-colleges-be-connected-internet.

17. OECD, *Education Policy Outlook. France.* 2020.

18. Bond, M., et al., *Digital transformation in German higher education: student and teacher perceptions and usage of digital media.* International journal of educational technology in higher education, 2018. **15**(1): p. 1-20.

19. Mergel, I., et al., *Digital transformation of the German state*, in *Public administration in Germany.* 2021, Springer International Publishing Cham. p. 331-355.

20. Henkel, M., *Academic identity in transformation?: The case of the United Kingdom.* Higher Education Management and Policy, 2002. **14**(3): p. 137-147.

21. Hubschmid-Vierheilig, E., M. Rohrer, and F. Mitsakis, *Digital competence revolution and human resource development in the United Kingdom and Switzerland.* The Future of HRD, Volume I: Innovation and Technology, 2020: p. 53-91.

22. Intel, F., *Research Report: UK – The road to digital learning.* 2020, University of Birmingham,.

23. Pazos, A.J.B., B.C. Ruiz, and B.M. Pérez, *Digital transformation of university teaching in communication during the COVID-19 emergency in Spain: an approach from students' perspective.* Revista Latina de Comunicación Social, 2020(78): p. 265-287.

24. Rodríguez-Abitia, G., et al., *Digital gap in universities and challenges for quality education: A diagnostic study in Mexico and Spain.* Sustainability, 2020. **12**(21): p. 9069.

25. The Government of Spain. *Digital Spain 2025.* 2022 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://espanadigital.gob.es/sites/agendadigital/files/2022-01/Digital-Spain-2025.pdf.

26. Larsson, A. and R. Teigland, *Digital transformation and public services: Societal impacts in Sweden and beyond.* 2019: Taylor & Francis.

27. Swedish Research Council. *Research for a wiser world.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.vr.se/english.

28. Hodge, G.A., C. Greve, and A.E. Boardman, *International handbook on public-private partnership.* 2010: Edward Elgar Publishing.

29. Galikhanov, M.F., et al. *Public-private partnership within the context of digital transformation: increasingly larger role of educational institutions.* in *Educating Engineers for Future Industrial Revolutions: Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning (ICL2020), Volume 2 23.* 2021. Springer.

30. Lundy, K., Ladd, H., *How the right public-private partnerships in higher education provide value.* 2021.

31. Chattopadhay, T. and O. Nogueira, *Public–private partnership in education: A promising model from Brazil.* Journal of International Development, 2014. **26**(6): p. 875-886.

32. Fife, E. and L. Hosman, *Public-Private Partnerships and the Prospects for Sustainable ICT Projects in the Developing World.* Journal of Law and Governance, 2007. **2**(3): p. 55–68-55–68.

33. Kuriyan, R. and I. Ray, *Outsourcing the state? Public–private partnerships and information technologies in India.* World Development, 2009. **37**(10): p. 1663-1673.

34. Jha, S. and S. Chatterjee, *Public-Private Partnership in a Minimally Invasive Education Approach.* International education journal, 2005. **6**(5): p. 587-597.

35. Verger, A. and M. Moschetti, *Public-private partnerships as an education policy approach: Multiple meanings, risks and challenges.* 2017.

36. Nusche, D., et al., *OECD reviews of evaluation and assessment in education.* Oslo: OECD, 2011.

37. Nusche, D. and T. Radinger, *OECD reviews of school resources: Denmark 2016.* 2016: OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

38. Fabre, A. and S. Straub, *The economic impact of public private partnerships (PPPs) in infrastructure, health and education: A review.* 2019, Toulouse School of Economics.

39. Koschatzky, K., *A theoretical view on public-private partnerships in research and innovation in Germany.* 2017, Arbeitspapiere Unternehmen und Region.

40. Medda, F.R., G. Carbonaro, and S.L. Davis, *Public private partnerships in transportation: Some insights from the European experience.* IATSS research, 2013. **36**(2): p. 83-87.

41. Liebe, M. and D. Howarth, *The European Investment Bank as policy entrepreneur and the promotion of public-private partnerships.* New political economy, 2020. **25**(2): p. 195-212.

42. Van den Hurk, M., et al., *National varieties of public–private partnerships (PPPs): A comparative analysis of PPP-supporting units in 19 European countries.* Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice, 2016. **18**(1): p. 1-20.

43. Worth, M.J., et al., *Understanding motivations of mega-gift donors to higher education: A qualitative study.* Public Administration Review, 2020. **80**(2): p. 281-293.

44. Bernstein, A.R., *Funding the future: Philanthropy's influence on American higher education.* 2013: R&L Education.

45. Saltman, K.J., *From Carnegie to Gates: The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the venture philanthropy agenda for public education*, in *The Gates foundation and the future of US public schools*. 2010, Routledge. p. 17-36.

46. Weissman, S. *Gates Foundation Gives $100M to Transform Colleges.* 2022.

47. Burgelman, J.-C., J. Chloupková, and W. Wobbe, *Foresight in support of European research and innovation policies: The European Commission is preparing the funding of grand societal challenges.* European Journal of Futures Research, 2014. **2**(1): p. 55.

48. Pollex, J. and A. Lenschow, *Surrendering to growth? The European Union's goals for research and technology in the Horizon 2020 framework.* Journal of Cleaner Production, 2018. **197**: p. 1863-1871.

49. Snijders, I., et al., *Alumni loyalty drivers in higher education.* Social Psychology of Education, 2019. **22**(3): p. 607-627.

50. Vodafone *Vodafone invests €20 million to advance digital skills and education across 14 European countries.* 2021.

51. King Baudouin Foundation. *Education and Development of Talents.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://kbs-frb.be/en/education-and-development-talents.

52. Stiftung Mercator. *Digital Society.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.stiftung-mercator.de/en/what-we-work-on/digital-society/.

53. Santander Universities. *Events, competitions and initiatives.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.santander.co.uk/universities/events.

54. Akbari, T.T. and R.R. Pratomo, *Higher education digital transformation implementation in Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic.* Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi, 2022. **10**(1): p. 52-65.

55. Chen, L.-K., et al., *Transformation 101: How universities can overcome financial headwinds to focus on their mission.* McKinsey & Company, 2019: p. 1-8.

56. Allen, I.E. and J. Seaman, *Online report card: Tracking online education in the United States.* 2016: ERIC.

57. Open University. *The Open University's Strategy for 2022-2027.* 2022 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.open.ac.uk/about/main/strategy.

58. European School of Economics. *Educational Philosophy.* 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.ese.ac.uk/about-ese/educational-philosophy.

59. University College Dublin. *About UCD Online*. 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.ucd.ie/online/aboutucdonline/.

60. Holmes, J., et al., *Online learning and teaching for the SDGs–exploring emerging university strategies.* International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 2022. **23**(3): p. 503-521.

61. Colasanti, N., R. Frondizi, and M. Meneguzzo, *Higher education and stakeholders' donations: successful civic crowdfunding in an Italian university.* Public Money & Management, 2018. **38**(4): p. 281-288.

62. Aalto University. *Our Strategy*. 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.aalto.fi/en/strategy.

63. University of Copenhagen. *Crownfunding*. 2020 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://coronaminds.ku.dk/english/crowdfunding/crowdfunding/.

64. University of Salford. *Crowdfunding*. 2023 [cited 2023/02/08]; Available from: https://www.salford.ac.uk/giving/crowdfunding.

65. Horta, H., M. Meoli, and S. Vismara, *Crowdfunding in higher education: evidence from UK Universities.* Higher Education, 2022. **83**(3): p. 547-575.

66. Norton, B., Horton, B., *Innovative debt financing could close the digital access gap. Here's how*. 2021.

67. World Economic Forum, *Guidebook to Digital Inclusion Bond Financing*. 2021, World Economic Forum,.

68. Hahn, R., *The private financing of higher education.* International Higher Education, 2008(50).

69. Sepúlveda, A., *The digital transformation of Education: Connecting schools, empowering learners.* TIC EDUCAÇÃO, 2020: p. 249.

70. FORVIS *Innovation in Leveraging Assets*. 2019.

71. Goodnough, T., et al., *Leveraging assets: a case study of the sustainability initiative at the University of Minnesota, Morris.* Journal of Cleaner Production, 2009. **17**(12): p. 1138-1142.